

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Akbar, N. (2015). Evaluasi Pelaksanaan Kampanye Dalam Pemilihan Umum Legislatif Tahun 2014 di Jawa Timur. *Repository UNAIR*, 3(1), 10–27. <https://medium.com/@arifwicaksanaa/pengertian-use-case-a7e576e1b6bf>
- Arkes, H. R. Shaffer, V. A., and Dawes, R. M. (2007). Comparing Reminiscence and Income-Based Explanations of the Endowment Effect. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 28(2), 214–226.
- Arniti, N. K. (2020). Partisipasi Politik Masyarakat Dalam Pemilihan Umum Legislatif Di Kota Denpasar. *Jurnal Ilmiah Dinamika Sosial*, 4(2), 329. <https://doi.org/10.38043/jids.v4i2.2496>
- Arrahman, K. F. (2018). Analisis Pengaruh Political Marketing Mix Terhadap Keputusan Memilih Pasangan Calon Gubernur DKI Jakarta 2017. *UIN Jakarta Repository*, UIN Syarif Hidayatullah, Jakarta.
- Bandura, A. (2012). Social Foundations of Thought and Action. *The Health Psychology Reader*, 94–106. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446221129.n6>
- Bartels, L. M. (2012). Economic Inequality and Political Representation. *The Unsustainable American State*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195392135.003.0007>
- Baxter, P., & Jack, S. (2015). Qualitative Case Study Methodology: Study Design and Implementation for Novice Researchers. *The Qualitative Report*. <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2008.1573>
- Budiman, A. (2016). Dinamika Politik Lokal di Kalimantan. *Malang Politica*, 3(1), 12–30.
- Civettini, A. (2015). Campaigning for Hearts and Minds: How Emotional Appeals in Political Ads Work – By Ted Brader. *Political Psychology*, 28(6), 804–805. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9221.2007.00605.x>
- Colon, F. T., & Flanigan, W. H. (1969). Political Behavior of the American Electorate. *The Western Political Quarterly*, 22(1), 206. <https://doi.org/10.2307/446164>
- Converse, P. E. (1969). Of time and partisan stability. *Comparative Political Studies*, 2(2), 139–171. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001041406900200201>
- Crozier, M. (2013). *The Politics of Swing: A New Model for a New Political Culture*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Dawson, S. (2024). Poll Wars: Perceptions of Poll Credibility and Voting Behaviour. *International Journal of Press/Politics*, 29(1), 206–226. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19401612221087181>
- Denton Jr, R. E. (2005). *The Primaries: Playground for Presidents?* New York: Praeger.

- Fachruddin, A. (2019). Paradoks Pemilu Serentak 2019: Catatan dari Luar Bilik Suara. *Pustaka Imtak*, 239. <https://repository.ptiq.ac.id/id/eprint/796/1/Buku-02.pdf>
- Farjam, M. (2021). The Bandwagon Effect in an Online Voting Experiment with Real Political Organizations. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 33(2), 412–421. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edaa008>
- Farrel, D. M., & Schmitt-Beck, R. (2019). Non-personalized campaign? How political campaigns affect vote choice. *Oxford University Press*.
- Fauzi, A. M. (2019). Perilaku Pemilih Menjelang Pemilu 2019. *Journal of Islamic Civilization*, 1(1), 40–48. <https://doi.org/10.33086/jic.v1i1.918>
- Fiantika, Wasil M, Jumiyati, Honesti, Wahyuni, Jonata, Et. al. (2022). Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif. In Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif. *Rake Sarasin, Maret*, 1–179. <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=O-B3eJYAAAAJ&hl=en>
- Gelman, A. (2009). Red state, blue state, rich state, poor state: Why Americans vote the way they do (Expanded edition). *Red State, Blue State, Rich State, Poor State*, 1–253.
- Gigerenzer, G. (2008). Rationality for Mortals: How People Cope with Uncertainty. *Rationality for Mortals: How People Cope with Uncertainty*, 1–246. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.46-4133>
- Glaeser, E. L. (2013). A nation of gamblers: Real estate speculation and American history. *American Economic Review*, 103(3), 1–42. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.103.3.1>
- Green, D. P., Palmquist, B., & Schickler, E. (2003). Partisan hearts and minds: political parties and the social identities of voters. *Choice Reviews Online*, 40(09), 40-5486-40–5486. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.40-5486>
- Hardini, H. K. (2009). Perilaku Memilih dan Model Partisipasi pada Pemilihan Pemula pada Pilkada Kota Malang (Studi Pasca Pilkada Kota Malang). *Lembaga Penelitian Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang*, 190.
- Hillebrand, J. D., & Berg, B. L. (2000). Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences. *Teaching Sociology*, 28(1), 87. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1319429>
- Johnson, R. (2016). Understanding Changing Political Preferences. *Oxford University Press*.
- Jones, A. (2008). Winning Over Swing Voters. *Routledge*.
- Jones, D. R. (2012). Swing Voters in American Politics. *The International Journal of American Politics*, 14(2), 330–345.
- Karp, J. A., & Banducci, S. A. (2008). Political efficacy and participation in twenty-seven democracies: How electoral systems shape political behaviour. *British*

- Journal of Political Science*, 38(2), 311–334.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123408000161>
- Krejci, J., & Malacko, J. (2008). A conceptual model of human behavior and decision making. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 29(3), 363–386.
- Kulachai, W., Lerdtomornsakul, U., & Homyamyen, P. (2023). Factors Influencing Voting Decision: A Comprehensive Literature Review. *Social Sciences*, 12(9).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12090469>
- Kurniawan, T. (2021). Isu Krusial dan Perilaku Swing Voters dalam Pilkada Malang. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*, 25(2), 150–165.
- Leave, C., Payne, J. W., Bettman, J. R., & Johnson, E. J. (1994). The Adaptive Decision Maker. *The Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 45(7), 850.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2584298>
- Lester, D. (2019). Theories of Personality. *Theories of Personality*.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429026027>
- Lipschultz, J. H. (2022). Social Media and Political Communication. *Sage Publications*, 1–210. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003170471>
- Lockwood, B. (2017). Confirmation bias and electoral accountability. *Critical Finance Review*, 11(4), 471–501. <https://doi.org/10.1561/100.00016037>
- Luce, R. D. (1998). Choosing Without Forecasting. *Theory and Decision*, 45(2), 97–119.
- Luskin, R. C. (2009). From Denial to Extenuation (and Finally Beyond): Political Sophistication and Citizen Performance. *Thinking about Political Psychology*, 281–305. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511510632.010>
- Mailya, E. Sri. (2020). Dampak Informasi Hoaks di Media Sosial Terhadap Partisipasi Pemilih Pemula pada Pilpres 2019. *Universitas Negeri Ar-Raniry Banda Aceh*.
- Miles, M., Huberman, M, Saldana, J. (2014). Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook. *Sage Publications*, 341.
- Mitchell, V. W., Wooliscroft, B., and Higham, D. W. (2009). Responsible Tourism: Psychological, Social and Educational Realities. *Channel View Publications*.
- Morvan, C., & Jenkins, B. (2017). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Judgment Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases*, 14, 339–370.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781912282562>
- Moustakas, C. (1994). Transcendental Phenomenology: Concepts, Perspectives, and Methodologies. *Sage Publications*.
- Mujani, Saiful. (2023). 39,04 Persen Pemilih Partai Tidak Loyal. *Saiful Mujani Research & Consulting SMRC*.
- Nazira, S., Zetra, A., Asrinaldi, & Rusta, A. (2024). Persepsi dan Perilaku Masyarakat Memilih Pasca Pemilu 2019 di Indonesia. *Jurnal Aristo (Social, Politic, Humaniora)*, 12(1), 275–296.

- Ohmura, H. (2024). Cognitive bias in voters' retrospective evaluations associated with COVID-19: evidence from Japan. *Frontiers in Social Psychology*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frsps.2024.1333871>
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). Qualitative research & evaluation methods : integrating theory and practice. *Sage Publications*, 806.
- Phelan, S., & Phelan, S. (2015). Case study research : design and methods Case study research : design and methods. *California: Sage Publications*, 5(September 2011), 1–414. https://books.google.com/books?hl=ar&lr=&id=FzawlAdilHkC&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&ots=l_4Q79hY2u&sig=tNV830bR5DJZbSEqkhFoGCRCE80%0Ahttps://books.google.se/books?id=6DwmDwAAQBAJ
- Pitman, M. A. (2013). Qualitative Research Design: An Interactive Approach. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 29(4), 499–501. <https://doi.org/10.1525/aeq.1998.29.4.499>
- Prabowo, H. & Suprato, H. B. (2015). Kampanye Efektif dalam Pemilihan Kepala Daerah. *PolGov*, 1(1), 23–37.
- Prochaska, J. O., & DiClemente, C. C. (1983). Stages and processes of self-change of smoking: Toward an integrative model of change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 51(3), 390–395. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.51.3.390>
- Read, D., & Read, N. L. (2010). Time discounting over the Lifespan - Working Paper. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 24(2), 87–102.
- Rudolph, L. (2018). Voting behavior and electoral choice using causal inference methods for observational data. *Der Sozialwissenschaftlichen Fakultät. Der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München*, 1–215. <https://doi.org/https://edoc.ub.uni-muenchen.de/23137/>
- Saiful, A. G. (2012). Budaya Politik di Kalangan Pemilih Urban. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*, 15(3), 289–302.
- Schwartz, B. (2004). The Tyranny of Choice. *Scientific American*, 290(4), 70–75. <https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0404-70>
- Simonson, I. (2005). In defense of consciousness: The role of conscious and unconscious inputs in consumer choice. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 15(3), 211–217. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327663jcp1503_5
- Smith, A. (2015). Demography and the Swing Voter Hypothesis: Understanding Voting Behavior. *Political Research Quarterly*, 68(2), 211–223.
- Sniderman, P. M., & Stiglitz, E. H. (2012). The reputational premium: A theory of party identification and policy reasoning. *The Reputational Premium: A Theory of Party Identification and Policy Reasoning*, 1–146. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.50-4087>
- Sniderman, P. M., & Tetlock, P. E. (1986). Symbolic versus operational image of political ideologies: A test of a multidimensional model. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 50(2), 176–191.

- Snyder, H. (2011). Qualitative interviewing: The art of hearing data. *Library & Information Science Research*, 18(2), 194–195. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0740-8188\(96\)90024-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0740-8188(96)90024-9)
- Sofanudin, A. (2016). Manajemen Inovasi Pendidikan Berorientasi Mutu Pada Min Malang 1 Kota Malang. *Penamas*, 29(Vol 29 No 1 (2016): Volume 29, Nomor 1, April-Juni 2016), 81–94. <http://202.73.25.101/journal/index.php/penamas/article/download/22/17/>
- Solomon, M. R. (2007). Consumer behavior: Buying, having, and being. *Prentice Hall*.
- Stake, R. (2013). Multiple case study analysis (Illustrated Edition). *Guilford Press*. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en%5C&lr=%5C&id=rQWT5aDHIZYC%5C&oi=fnd%5C&pg=PT21%5C&dq=robert+stake+1975%5C&ots=IHmVlwtwl%5C&sig=Cqu6pGRYq4KxCNdhHfwxhVf1hb8>
- Subbotsky, E. (2010). Magic and the Mind: Mechanisms, Functions, and Development of Magical Thinking and Behavior. *Oxford University Press*, 1–216. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195393873.001.0001>
- Suhartanto, D. (2017). The Swing Voters' Behavior in Regional Head Election. *Indonesian Journal of Government and Politics*, 8(2), 120–131.
- Susanti, B., & Rahadi, D. R. (2018). Tingkat Pendidikan dan Perilaku Memilih di Kota Malang. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 15(3), 200–210.
- Teney, C., Dochow-Sondershaus, S., & Lovette, F. (2024). The Gendered Effect of Parenthood on Voting Behaviour in the 2021 German Federal Election. *German Politics*, 33(1), 22–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644008.2023.2196410>
- Tverdova, Y. V. (2011). Economic performance and voter support for incumbents in postcommunist countries. *Electoral Studies*, 30(1), 11–21.
- van Oosten, S., Mügge, L., Hakhverdian, A., & van der Pas, D. (2024). What Explains Voting for DENK: Issues, Discrimination or In-group Favouritism? *University of Oxford Centre on Migration, Policy and Society (COMPAS) Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344893.2024.2387011>
- Viteková, L., & Václaviková, I. (2022). Psychological Aspects of Political Choices: Focus on Cognition, Decision-Making Styles, and Emotions in Voting Behaviour. *Slovak Journal of Political Sciences*, 22(1), 25–47. <https://doi.org/10.34135/sjps.220102>
- Wang, Y. (2018). Political Branding and Marketing Strategies in the Age of Swing Voters. *Sage Publications*, 17(4), 274–290.
- Weber, C. R., & Federico, C. M. (2013). Moral Foundations and Heterogeneity in Ideological Preferences. *Political Psychology*, 34(1), 107–126. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9221.2012.00922.x>
- White, T. (2005). Swing Voters and Political Change. *Cambridge University Press*.

- Wright, B. R. E., Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (2000). On the Self-Regulation of Behavior. *Contemporary Sociology*, 29(2), 386. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2654424>
- Xue, L., & Li, C. (2018). The impact of negative campaigning in US elections. *Routledge*.
- Yin, R. K. (2016). Qualitative research from start to finish. *Guildford Press, 2nd Ed*, 417. https://books.google.co.nz/books?id=_XP3CQAAQBAJ
- Zmigrod, L. (2020). The role of cognitive rigidity in political ideologies: theory, evidence, and future directions. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 34, 34–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2019.10.016>