

Prof. Dr. Drs. BONAVENTURA NGARAWULA, MS

TEORI ADMINISTRASI PUBLIK



Penerbit :
CV. PUTRA SURYA SANTOSA

TEORI ADMNISTRASI PUBLIK

Penulis

Prof. Dr. Drs. Bonaventura Ngarawula, MS

Layout & Cover

Mahendra DHS.

ISBN : 978-623-494-288-0

Cetakan Pertama, Oktober 2024

Diterbitkan Oleh:


CV. PUTRA SURYA SANTOSA

Alamat : Perum Permata Godean 1 C3, Desa Sidokarto

RT. 02/05 Kecamatan Godean Kabupaten Sleman

E-Mail : putrasuryasantoso@gmail.com

HP : 0812-1603-1603-3775 – Website: www.putrasuryasantosa.com



Hak Cipta dilindungi oleh Undang-Undang. Dilarang memperbanyak sebagian atau seluruh isi buku tanpa izin penerbit.

KATA PENGANTAR

Puji syukur kehadiran Tuhan Yang Maha Esa atas segala rahmat dan karunia-Nya sehingga buku berjudul *Teori Administrasi Publik* ini dapat diselesaikan dengan baik. Buku ini hadir sebagai bagian dari upaya untuk memberikan pemahaman yang lebih mendalam tentang konsep-konsep dan teori-teori yang berkembang dalam bidang administrasi publik. Dengan berkembangnya dinamika masyarakat dan pemerintahan, administrasi publik menjadi salah satu bidang yang semakin relevan untuk dipelajari, baik oleh akademisi, praktisi, maupun mahasiswa yang tertarik dalam pengelolaan sektor publik.

Administrasi publik tidak hanya berfokus pada tata kelola pemerintahan, tetapi juga mencakup aspek-aspek penting dalam pelayanan publik, kebijakan, dan hubungan antara negara dan masyarakat. Dalam era globalisasi dan perkembangan teknologi, teori-teori administrasi publik mengalami banyak perubahan dan penyesuaian. Oleh karena itu, buku ini berusaha menyajikan rangkuman dari perkembangan-perkembangan tersebut, dimulai dari teori klasik yang menjadi dasar ilmu administrasi publik hingga teori-teori kontemporer yang relevan dengan tantangan zaman modern.

Buku ini disusun dengan harapan dapat menjadi referensi yang bermanfaat bagi para pembaca dalam memahami perkembangan administrasi publik, baik dari segi teoritis maupun aplikatif. Kami menyadari bahwa perkembangan administrasi publik selalu dinamis, sehingga buku ini juga diharapkan dapat memancing diskusi yang konstruktif dan refleksi lebih mendalam terkait peran pemerintah dan institusi publik dalam mengelola berbagai kepentingan masyarakat.

Kami ingin mengucapkan terima kasih kepada semua pihak yang telah mendukung penyusunan buku ini. Terutama kepada para dosen, kolega, dan sahabat yang telah memberikan masukan, kritik, dan saran selama proses penyusunan materi buku ini. Ucapan terima kasih juga kami sampaikan kepada keluarga yang telah memberikan dukungan moral dan spiritual tanpa henti. Kami berharap buku ini dapat bermanfaat dan menjadi inspirasi bagi pembaca, baik di dunia akademik maupun di dunia praktis.

Buku ini tentunya masih memiliki kekurangan di sana-sini. Oleh karena itu, kritik dan saran yang membangun sangat kami harapkan untuk penyempurnaan buku ini di masa yang akan datang. Akhir kata, semoga buku ini dapat memberikan kontribusi yang positif bagi perkembangan ilmu administrasi publik di Indonesia.

Malang, Oktober 2024
Penulis

DAFTAR ISI

DAFTAR ISI.....	ii
KATA PENGANTAR	iii
Bab 1: Pengantar dan Sejarah Administrasi Publik	1
A. Definisi dan Ruang Lingkup Administrasi Publik	3
B. Sejarah Perkembangan Administrasi Publik.....	5
C. Hubungan Administrasi Publik dengan Ilmu Politik dan Ekonomi	9
D. Tantangan Utama dalam Administrasi Publik di Era Modern	12
E. Peran Administrasi Publik dalam Pemerintahan dan Masyarakat.....	15
Bab 2: Teori Klasik dan Neo-Klasik Administrasi Publik	19
A. Pemikiran Max Weber tentang Birokrasi.....	21
B. Prinsip Administrasi oleh Henry Fayol dan Frederick Taylor	24
C. Teori Human Relations oleh Elton Mayo	30
D. Herbert Simon dan Pendekatan Pengambilan Keputusan Rasional	32
E. Kritik terhadap Pendekatan Klasik dan Neo-Klasik dalam Administrasi Publik	36
Bab 3: Pendekatan Kontemporer dalam Administrasi Publik	39
A. Pendekatan Sistem dalam Administrasi Publik.....	42
B. Teori Kontingensi dan Adaptasi pada Lingkungan Administrasi Publik	46
C. Behavioralism dan Pengaruh Psikologi dalam Administrasi Publik	49
D. Administrasi Publik sebagai Jaringan Kerjasama Antar Sektor.....	51
E. Pengaruh Pendekatan Kontemporer terhadap Reformasi Birokrasi.....	54
Bab 4: Administrasi Publik dan New Public Management (NPM)	60
A. Asal Usul dan Latar Belakang New Public Management.....	60
B. Prinsip-Prinsip NPM: Efisiensi, Kompetisi, dan Akuntabilitas	62
C. Pengaruh NPM terhadap Reformasi Birokrasi.....	65
D. Keuntungan dan Tantangan Implementasi NPM.....	69
Bab 5: Teknologi dan Globalisasi dalam Administrasi Publik	77
A. Perkembangan Teknologi Informasi dan E-Government	79
B. Dampak Globalisasi terhadap Administrasi Publik.....	82
C. Digitalisasi dan Inovasi Teknologi dalam Pelayanan Publik	84
D. Administrasi Publik di Era Global: Tantangan dan Adaptasi	87
E. Kasus Implementasi E-Government dan Teknologi di Berbagai Negara.....	89
Bab 6: Administrasi Publik di Indonesia dan Masa Depan	93
A. Sejarah Administrasi Publik di Indonesia.....	95
B. Reformasi Birokrasi dan Tantangan di Indonesia	98
C. Good Governance dan Desentralisasi di Indonesia.....	101
D. Masa Depan Administrasi Publik di Indonesia dan Dunia	104
E. Rekomendasi Pengembangan Administrasi Publik di Masa Depan.....	106
DAFTAR PUSTAKA	110
GLOSARIUM.....	131

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Afzaal, H., & Masood, S. (2019). Role of inter-organizational information integration in successful e-governance. *International Journal of Innovations in Science and Technology*, 02. <https://doi.org/10.33411/ijist/2019010307>
- Agostino, D., & Arnaboldi, M. (2015). A measurement framework for assessing the contribution of social media to public engagement: an empirical analysis on facebook. *Public Management Review*, 18(9), 1289–1307. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2015.1100320>
- Ahmed, B. (2018). The linkage between e-governance and good governance: an analysis on bangladesh public administration. *Humanities and Social Sciences*, 6(4), 114. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.hss.20180604.13>
- Ahmed, M. (2022). Social engineering attacks in e-government system: detection and prevention. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters*, 100–116. <https://doi.org/10.47992/ijaeml.2581.7000.0123>
- Alaaraj, H., & Ibrahim, F. (2014). The influence of e-government practices on good governance from the perspective of public in lebanon. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, 4(3), 171. <https://doi.org/10.5296/jpag.v4i3.6405>
- Aligica, P. (2016). Public administration and the classical liberal perspective. *Administration & Society*, 49(4), 530–551. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399715581044>
- Alkrajji, A., & Ameen, N. (2021). The impact of service quality, trust and satisfaction on young citizen loyalty towards government e-services. *Information Technology and People*, 35(4), 1239–1270. <https://doi.org/10.1108/itp-04-2020-0229>
- Alonso, J., Clifton, J., & Díaz-Fuentes, D. (2015). The impact of new public management on efficiency: an analysis of madrid's hospitals. *Health Policy*, 119(3), 333–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2014.12.001>
- Al-Shboul, M., Rababah, O., AL-Shboul, M., Ghnemat, R., & Al-Saqqa, S. (2014). Challenges and factors affecting the implementation of e-government in jordan. *Journal of Software Engineering and Applications*, 07(13), 1111–1127. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jsea.2014.713098>
- Alshchri, M., Drew, S., Alhussain, T., & AlGhamdi, R. (2012). The impact of trust on e-government services acceptance. *International Journal of Technology Diffusion*, 3(2), 50–61. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jtd.2012040105>
- Aminah, S., & Saksono, H. (2021). Digital transformation of the government: a case study in indonesia. *Jurnal Komunikasi Malaysian Journal of Communication*, 37(2), 272–288. <https://doi.org/10.17576/jkmjc-2021-3702-17>
- Amrouni, K., Arshah, R., & Kadi, A. (2019). A systematic review: factors affecting employees' adoption of e-government using an integration of utaut & ttf theories. *Kne Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v3i22.5044>

- Andreas, J. (2007). The structure of charismatic mobilization: a case study of rebellion during the chinese cultural revolution. *American Sociological Review*, 72(3), 434–458. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240707200306>
- Andrews, C., & Vries, M. (2021). The experimental method in public administration: lessons from replication in psychology. *Revista De Administração Pública*, 55(5), 1017–1033. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-761220200746>
- Andrews, R., & Boyne, G. (2011). Corporate capacity and public service performance. *Public Administration*, 89(3), 894–908. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2010.01891.x>
- Aoki, N. (2015). Let's get public administration right, but in what sequence?: lessons from japan and singapore. *Public Administration and Development*, 35(3), 206–218. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1714>
- Arifianti, D. (2024). The strategy of public services through digitalization in indonesia: a comparative study from south korea success story. *Journal La Sociale*, 5(3), 651–658. <https://doi.org/10.37899/journal-la-sociale.v5i3.1140>
- Aritonang, D. (2021). *Legal liability of public financial administration in the pandemic era*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210629.018>
- Arniti, N., Yuliani, I., Irawan, B., Wang, L., & Zahidah, A. (2022). Public administration from a local wisdom perspective. *Ijd-Demos*, 4(4). <https://doi.org/10.37950/ijd.v4i4.382>
- Ashforth, B., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 20–39. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4278999>
- Aslanertik, B., & Çolak, M. (2021). The link between sustainability reporting and the core characteristics of sustainable human resource management. *International Journal of Contemporary Management*, 57(4), 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.2478/ijcm-2021-0010>
- Asmorowati, S. (2011). Bureaucratic reform for community driven development: lessons from the implementation of the national program for community empowerment (pnpn)-urban in surabaya, indonesia. *The International Journal of Interdisciplinary Social Sciences Annual Review*, 5(12), 115–138. <https://doi.org/10.18848/1833-1882/cgp/v05i12/51966>
- Asteriniah, F. (2023). Transforming governance in indonesia: exploring new frontiers in public sector management. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Administrasi Publik*, 13(2), 739. <https://doi.org/10.26858/jiap.v13i2.56222>
- Awortwi, N. (2010). Building new competencies for government administrators and managers in an era of public sector reforms: the case of mozambique. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 76(4), 723–748. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852310381803>
- Baines, S., Hill, P., & Garrety, K. (2014). What happens when digital information systems are brought into health and social care? comparing approaches to social

- policy in england and australia. *Social Policy and Society*, 13(4), 569–578. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1474746414000256>
- Bakker, A. (2015). A job demands–resources approach to public service motivation. *Public Administration Review*, 75(5), 723–732. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12388>
- Bakri, S., Karmilasari, V., Utami, A., & Fadoli, M. (2023). Examining the indonesia bureaucracy response and problem in pandemic times: a preliminary diagnostic study. *Kne Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v8i5.13029>
- Barros, G. (2010). Herbert a. simon and the concept of rationality: boundaries and procedures. *Brazilian Journal of Political Economy*, 30(3), 455–472. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0101-31572010000300006>
- Batalli, M. (2015). Measurement and evaluation of the public administration performance through delivery of electronic services. *Academicus International Scientific Journal*, 12, 169–179. <https://doi.org/10.7336/academicus.2015.12.11>
- Battaglio, R. (2009). Privatization and its challenges for human resource management in the public sector. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 29(3), 203–206. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371x09339297>
- Battaglio, R., Belardinelli, P., Bellé, N., & Cantarelli, P. (2018). Behavioral public administration ad fontes: a synthesis of research on bounded rationality, cognitive biases, and nudging in public organizations. *Public Administration Review*, 79(3), 304–320. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12994>
- Battaglio, R., Bellé, N., & Cantarelli, P. (2021). Self-determination theory goes public: experimental evidence on the causal relationship between psychological needs and job satisfaction. *Public Management Review*, 24(9), 1411–1428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2021.1900351>
- Berenschot, W. (2018). Incumbent bureaucrats: why elections undermine civil service reform in indonesia. *Public Administration and Development*, 38(4), 135–143. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1838>
- Bertelli, A., & Riccucci, N. (2020). What is behavioral public administration good for? *Public Administration Review*, 82(1), 179–183. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13283>
- Bhuiyan, S. (2023). Building global public administration knowledge: leveraging the power of collaboration. *Public Administration Review*, 84(3), 426–431. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13768>
- Bisri, M., & Asmoro, B. (2019). Etika pelayanan publik di indonesia. *Journal of Governance Innovation*, 1(1), 59–76. <https://doi.org/10.36636/jogiv.v1i1.298>
- Boettke, P. (2018). Economics and public administration. *Southern Economic Journal*, 84(4), 938–959. <https://doi.org/10.1002/socj.12265>
- Bondarenko, S., Liganenko, I., & Mykytenko, D. (2020). Transformation of public administration in digital conditions: world experience, prospects of ukraine.

Journal of Scientific Papers Social Development & Security, 10(2), 76–89.
<https://doi.org/10.33445/sds.2020.10.2.9>

- Bonder, A., Bouchard, C., & Bellemare, G. (2011). Competency-based management—an integrated approach to human resource management in the canadian public sector. *Public Personnel Management*, 40(1), 1–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/009102601104000101>
- Boon, J., & Verhoest, K. (2017). On the dynamics of reform resistance: why and how bureaucratic organizations resist shared service center reforms. *International Public Management Journal*, 21(4), 533–557.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2016.1276494>
- Borkman, T. (2006). Sharing experience, conveying hope: egalitarian relations as the essential method of alcoholics anonymous. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 17(2), 145–161. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml.140>
- Bourgon, J. (2007). Responsive, responsible and respected government. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 73(1), 7–26.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852307075686>
- Bowornwathana, B. (2009). The need to build administrative capacity in the age of rapid globalization: a “modest” prescription or a major blueprint? *Public Administration Review*, 69(6), 1031–1033. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2009.02058.x>
- Boyne, G., & Meier, K. (2013). Burdened by bureaucracy? determinants of administrative intensity in public organisations. *International Public Management Journal*, 16(2), 307–327.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2013.817261>
- Brinkerhoff, D., & Wetterberg, A. (2013). Performance-based public management reforms: experience and emerging lessons from service delivery improvement in indonesia. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 79(3), 433–457.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852313491059>
- Bryson, J., Crosby, B., & Bloomberg, L. (2014a). Public value governance: moving beyond traditional public administration and the new public management. *Public Administration Review*, 74(4), 445–456.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12238>
- Bryson, J., Crosby, B., & Bloomberg, L. (2014b). Public value governance: moving beyond traditional public administration and the new public management. *Public Administration Review*, 74(4), 445–456.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12238>
- Budiati, A. (2019). *The improvement of e-administration in indonesia: an analysis based on u theory and quintuple helix*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icdesa-19.2019.55>
- Cairney, P. (2002). New public management and the thatcher healthcare legacy: enough of the theory, what about the implementation? *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 4(3), 375–398.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-856x.00085>

- Cantarelli, P., Bellé, N., & Longo, F. (2019). Exploring the motivational bases of public mission-driven professions using a sequential-explanatory design. *Public Management Review*, 22(10), 1535–1559. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2019.1642950>
- Carboni, N. (2010). Professional autonomy versus political control. *Public Policy and Administration*, 25(4), 365–386. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0952076709356886>
- Carey, G., & Friel, S. (2015). Understanding the role of public administration in implementing action on the social determinants of health and health inequities. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, 4(12), 795–798. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2015.185>
- Castro, C., & Lopes, I. (2021). Digital government and sustainable development. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 13(2), 880–903. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-021-00749-2>
- Chanthamith, B., Wu, M., & Yusufzada, S. (2019). Interdisciplinary relationship between sociology, politics and public administration: perspective of theory and practice. *Sociology International Journal*, 3(4). <https://doi.org/10.15406/sij.2019.03.00198>
- Chourabi, H., & Mellouli, S. (2011). *E-government*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2037556.2037563>
- Christensen, T. (2019). *Organization theory and public administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.013.1439>
- Christensen, T., & Lægreid, P. (2007). The whole-of-government approach to public sector reform. *Public Administration Review*, 67(6), 1059–1066. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00797.x>
- Clavier, C. (2016). Implementing health in all policies – time and ideas matter too! comment on “understanding the role of public administration in implementing action on the social determinants of health and health inequities.” *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, 5(10), 609–610. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2016.81>
- Çolak, Ç. (2019). Why the new public management is obsolete. *Hrvatska I Komparativna Javna Uprava*, 19(4), 517–536. <https://doi.org/10.31297/hkju.19.4.1>
- Corcós, A., & Pannequin, F. (2011). Neuroeconomics, decision-making and rationality. *Économie Et Institutions*, 16, 13–32. <https://doi.org/10.4000/ei.74>
- Coursey, D., & Norris, D. (2008). Models of e-government: are they correct? an empirical assessment. *Public Administration Review*, 68(3), 523–536. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2008.00888.x>
- Cunliffe, A., & Jun, J. (2005). The need for reflexivity in public administration. *Administration & Society*, 37(2), 225–242. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399704273209>

- Dan, S., & Pollitt, C. (2014). Npmcanwork: an optimistic review of the impact of new public management reforms in central and eastern europe. *Public Management Review*, 17(9), 1305–1332. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2014.908662>
- Danu, D. (2023). E-services: implementation of digital-based public services in the 4.0 era. *Athena Journal of Social Culture and Society*, 1(3), 87–92. <https://doi.org/10.58905/athena.v1i3.40>
- Denhardt, R., & Denhardt, J. (2000). The new public service: serving rather than steering. *Public Administration Review*, 60(6), 549–559. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0033-3352.00117>
- Dickinson, H. (2016). *From new public management to new public governance: the implications for a 'new public service.'* <https://doi.org/10.22459/tss.07.2016.03>
- Ding, F., Wen, B., & Shon, J. (2023). Frontline bureaucratic attitude toward administrative integration: does organizational configuration matter? *Administration & Society*, 55(7), 1255–1289. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00953997231165995>
- Duit, A. (2015). Resilience thinking: lessons for public administration. *Public Administration*, 94(2), 364–380. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12182>
- Dumitrache, V., Nastase, M., Lazar, V., Andreica, C., & Vasilache, P. (2021). Eu28 countries performance in egovernment in 2019-2020. *Review of International Comparative Management*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.24818/rmci.2021.1.102>
- Dunleavy, P., Margetts, H., Bastow, S., & Tinkler, J. (2005). New public management is dead--long live digital-era governance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 16(3), 467–494. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mui057>
- Dunn, W., & Miller, D. (2007). A critique of the new public management and the neo-weberian state: advancing a critical theory of administrative reform. *Public Organization Review*, 7(4), 345–358. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-007-0042-3>
- Durant, R., & Ali, S. (2012). Repositioning american public administration? citizen estrangement, administrative reform, and the disarticulated state. *Public Administration Review*, 73(2), 278–289. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2012.02646.x>
- Durant, R., & Legge, J. (2006). “Wicked problems,” public policy, and administrative theory. *Administration & Society*, 38(3), 309–334. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399706289713>
- Eatough, E., Chang, C., Miloslavic, S., & Johnson, R. (2011). Relationships of role stressors with organizational citizenship behavior: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(3), 619–632. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021887>
- Ege, J. (2019). Learning from the commission case: the comparative study of management change in international public administrations. *Public Administration*, 97(2), 384–398. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12546>
- Ekawati, H., Purnawan, I., & Pratama, I. (2019). E-readiness system e-government (case of communication and information office of badung regency).

International Journal of Computer Applications Technology and Research, 8(11), 425–428. <https://doi.org/10.7753/ijcatr0811.1004>

- Elliott, I., & Wu, A. (2023). New developments at public administration and development. *Public Administration and Development*, 43(2), 95–96. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.2013>
- Fakih, F. (2014). The rise of the managerial state in indonesia: institutional transition during the early independence period, 1950–1965. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 50(2), 293–294. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2014.938411>
- Falih, R. (2023). New research trends in designing e-government architecture based on blockchain technology. *Journal of Engineering*, 29(11), 17–36. <https://doi.org/10.31026/j.eng.2023.11.02>
- Farazmand, A. (2002). Administrative ethics and professional competence: accountability and performance under globalization. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 68(1), 127–143. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852302681007>
- Fatoni, A. (2020). Fiscal decentralization dilemma in indonesia: between corruption accountability and probability at local levels. *Jurnal Bina Praja*, 12(1), 101–110. <https://doi.org/10.21787/jbp.12.2020.101-110>
- Fialho, E. (2023). Public administration leadership and public policies. *ECMLG*, 19(1), 136–146. <https://doi.org/10.34190/ecmlg.19.1.1937>
- Firmansyah, G., Hasibuan, Z., & Sueahyo, Y. (2014). *Indonesia e-government components: a principal component analysis approach*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icitasi.2014.7048255>
- Fitzpatrick, J., Goggin, M., Heikkila, T., Klingner, D., Machado, J., & Martell, C. (2011). A new look at comparative public administration: trends in research and an agenda for the future. *Public Administration Review*, 71(6), 821–830. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2011.02432.x>
- Fobih, N. (2020). Npm reforms in ghana's public sector management & administration: changing trends in mdas & mmdas functions. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, 10(4), 125. <https://doi.org/10.5296/jpag.v10i4.17955>
- Fryer, K., Antony, J., & Oden, S. (2009). Performance management in the public sector. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 22(6), 478–498. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550910982850>
- Gajduschek, G. (2003). Bureaucracy: is it efficient? is it not? is that the question? *Administration & Society*, 34(6), 700–723. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399702239171>
- George, B., Walle, S., & Hammerschmid, G. (2019). Institutions or contingencies? a cross-country analysis of management tool use by public sector executives. *Public Administration Review*, 79(3), 330–342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13018>

- Geri, L. (2001a). New public management and the reform of international organizations. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 67(3), 445–460. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852301673004>
- Geri, L. (2001b). New public management and the reform of international organizations. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 67(3), 445–460. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852301673004>
- Gerton, T., & Mitchell, J. (2019). Grand challenges in public administration: implications for public service education, training, and research. *Journal of Public Affairs Education*, 25(4), 435–440. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15236803.2019.1689780>
- Gil-García, J., Dawes, S., & Pardo, T. (2017). Digital government and public management research: finding the crossroads. *Public Management Review*, 20(5), 633–646. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2017.1327181>
- Grivel, M., & Spivak, A. (2008). Public management and the public good: the case of oklahoma's 2002 secondhand tobacco smoke rules. *Politics & Policy*, 36(3), 420–447. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1346.2008.00115.x>
- Grimmelikhuijsen, S., Jilke, S., Olsen, A., & Tummers, L. (2016). Behavioral public administration: combining insights from public administration and psychology. *Public Administration Review*, 77(1), 45–56. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12609>
- Gudelis, D., & Guogis, A. (2010). Integrating public and business management: a model of interaction between public and private sectors. *International Review on Public and Nonprofit Marketing*, 8(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12208-010-0063-4>
- Guo, S. (2019). Political-administrative dichotomy: its sources, logic and debates. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(3), 356–368. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2019.73030>
- Guo-wei, G., Wang, Y., Ning, W., Li, H., & Wang, D. (2006). *An intelligent and cooperative information system for the dynamic management of e-government services in inter-administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/wi-iatw.2006.40>
- Hainous, M., Sekaki, Y., Amiri, I., & Houria, Z. (2021). Internal audit and the modernization of moroccan public administrations. *International Journal of Financial Accountability Economics Management and Auditing (Ijfaema)*, 3(3), 54–61. <https://doi.org/10.52502/ijfaema.v3i3.50>
- Haitami, M., & Rengganis, A. (2021). The dilemma of good governance implementation in indonesia during the pandemic of corona virus disease (covid-19). *JASSP*, 1(1), 55–67. <https://doi.org/10.23960/jassp.v1i1.25>
- Hales, C. (2002). 'Bureaucracy-lite' and continuities in managerial work. *British Journal of Management*, 13(1), 51–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00222>



- Han, M. (2014). Research on e-government information resources sharing system based on cloud computing. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 687–691, 1758–1761. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.687-691.1758>
- Han, X., Ma, L., & Perry, J. (2023). Does employee pay variation increase government performance? evidence from a cross-national analysis. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 44(2), 325–352. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371x221141988>
- Hansson, O. (2014). Medical ethics and new public management in sweden. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*, 23(3), 261–267. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0963180113000868>
- Haque, M. (2001). The diminishing publicness of public service under the current mode of governance. *Public Administration Review*, 61(1), 65–82. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0033-3352.00006>
- Haque, M. (2004). Reforming public administration in southeast asia: trends and impacts. *Public Organization Review*, 4(4), 361–371. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-004-4602-5>
- Haque, M. (2013). Public administration in a globalized asia: intellectual identities, challenges, and prospects. *Public Administration and Development*, 33(4), 262–274. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1658>
- Haque, M., & Turner, M. (2013). Knowledge-building in asian public administration: an introductory overview. *Public Administration and Development*, 33(4), 243–248. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1664>
- Haque, M., Wal, Z., & Berg, C. (2021). Comparative studies in public administration: intellectual challenges and alternative perspectives. *Public Administration Review*, 81(2), 344–348. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13349>
- Harry, J. (2024). Role of public administration in rebuilding nigerian productivity in economic resilience. *JOHASAM*, 7(2), 115–120. <https://doi.org/10.4314/johasam.v7i2.11>
- Haryono, B. (2023). Narrative policy of bureaucratic reform in indonesia: rules of narrative in mass media. *Journal of Infrastructure Policy and Development*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i1.2842>
- Hassan, S., & Wright, B. (2019). The behavioral public administration movement: a critical reflection. *Public Administration Review*, 80(1), 163–167. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13130>
- Helander, N., Paunu, A., & Hellsten, P. (2022). *Knowledge management processes in practice: empirical insights from the public sector*. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2022.673>
- Hendar, J. (2023). The urgency of state administration attitude for good governance. *Mimbar Jurnal Sosial Dan Pembangunan*, 239–249. <https://doi.org/10.29313/mimbar.vi.2963>

- Hood, C., & Peters, G. (2004). The middle aging of new public management: into the age of paradox? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 14(3), 267–282. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muh019>
- Höpfel, H. (2006). Post-bureaucracy and weber's "modern" bureaucrat. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 19(1), 8–21. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09534810610643659>
- Hulst, R., Montfort, A., Haveri, A., Airaksinen, J., & Kelly, J. (2009). Institutional shifts in inter-municipal service delivery. *Public Organization Review*, 9(3), 263–285. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-009-0085-8>
- Husni, H., Gaol, F., Supangkat, S., & Ranti, B. (2022). Digital twin concept for indonesia digital government information technology governance. *International Journal Science and Technology*, 1(2), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.56127/ijst.v1i2.146>
- Hussein, R., Mohamed, N., Ahlan, A., & Mahmud, M. (2011). E-government application: an integrated model on g2c adoption of online tax. *Transforming Government People Process and Policy*, 5(3), 225–248. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17506161111155388>
- Ibrahim, A. (2023). Bibliometric analysis of e-government and trust: a lesson for indonesia. *Jurnal Borneo Administrator*, 19(3), 269–284. <https://doi.org/10.24258/jba.v19i3.1303>
- Indahsari, C., & Raharja, S. (2020). New public management (npm) as an effort in governance. *Jurnal Manajemen Pelayanan Publik*, 3(2), 73. <https://doi.org/10.24198/jmpp.v3i2.25342>
- Indama, V. (2022). Digital governance: citizen perceptions and expectations of online public services. *ISSLP*, 1(2), 12–18. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.isslp.1.2.3>
- Irawanto, I., & M.R.K., M. (2016). Inter-regional cooperation on regional landfill management in urban area: a case study in southern kalimantan, indonesia. *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, 59(11), 138–147. <https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2016-11.17>
- Ishak, D. (2022). Public services to achieve good governance in indonesia. *Jurnal Abdimas Peradaban*, 3(1), 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.54783/ap.v3i1.4>
- Islam, M. (2018). New public management-based reform in bangladesh: a review of public administration reform commission. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 64(1), 15–35. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0019556117735458>
- Istianto, B. (2014). *The role shifting of the state in public sector affairs in indonesia*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icpm-14.2014.35>
- Jahidi, I., & Budiati, A. (2019). *The improvement of e-administration in indonesia: an analysis based on u theory*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icas-19.2019.113>
- Jakobsen, M. (2010). The effects of new public management: activity-based reimbursement and efficiency in the scandinavian hospital sectors. *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 33(2), 113–134. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9477.2009.00241.x>

- Jamil, H., Ananda, C., & Prasetyia, F. (2022). It takes two to tango: the joint effect of democracy and fiscal capacity on economic growth in indonesia. *Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan Dan Pembangunan Daerah*, 10(5), 271–286. <https://doi.org/10.22437/ppd.v10i5.20816>
- Janssen, M., Rana, N., Slade, E., & Dwivedi, Y. (2017). Trustworthiness of digital government services: deriving a comprehensive theory through interpretive structural modelling. *Public Management Review*, 20(5), 647–671. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2017.1305689>
- Jumiati, J., & Saputra, B. (2019). *Indigeneous public administration: public administration viewed from local wisdom perspective*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icskse-18.2019.3>
- Kahneman, D. (2003). Maps of bounded rationality: psychology for behavioral economics. *American Economic Review*, 93(5), 1449–1475. <https://doi.org/10.1257/000282803322655392>
- Kalsi, N., & Kiran, R. (2013). E-governance success factors. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 26(4), 320–336. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijpsm-08-2011-0101>
- Kalvet, T. (2012). Innovation: a factor explaining e-government success in estonia. *Electronic Government an International Journal*, 9(2), 142. <https://doi.org/10.1504/cg.2012.046266>
- Kanaan, A., Al-Hawamleh, A., Abulfaraj, A., Al-Kaseasbeh, H., & Alorfi, A. (2023). The effect of quality, security and privacy factors on trust and intention to use e-government services. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 7(1), 185–198. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2022.11.004>
- Karpa, M., & Smalskys, V. (2021). *Competence approach to the development of modern public administration: theory and practice of implementation*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.210320.008>
- Kaupa, S., & Atiku, S. (2020). Challenges in the implementation of performance management system in namibian public sector. *International Journal of Innovation and Economic Development*, 6(2), 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.18775/ijied.1849-7551-7020.2015.62.2003>
- Keban, Y. (2019). The complexities of regional development planning reform: the indonesian case. *Policy & Governance Review*, 3(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.30589/pgr.v3i1.124>
- Keco, R., Tomorri, I., & Tomorri, K. (2023). Quality evaluation of e-government services – the case of albania. *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences*, 68 E, 20–33. <https://doi.org/10.24193/tras.68e.2>
- Kelly, J., & Dodds, A. (2012). Public administration in an age of austerity: the future of the discipline. *Public Policy and Administration*, 27(3), 199–211. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0952076712440006>
- Khalid, H., Noor, A., Iqbal, J., Farid, S., & Chang, V. (2018). Development of public sector information management systems: challenges and promising practices.

Information Discovery and Delivery, 46(3), 184–195.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/idd-03-2018-0008>

- Kim, P. (2007). Emerging challenges to the public capacity in the era of evolving public administration: toward collaborative public management. *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 15(3), 282–302.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02185370701731028>
- Kim, T., Kim, K., & Kim, S. (2022a). Undergraduate education in public administration and public service motivation: a quasi-experiment with the intervention of an introductory course. *Teaching Public Administration*, 41(2), 170–189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01447394221084489>
- Kim, T., Kim, K., & Kim, S. (2022b). Undergraduate education in public administration and public service motivation: a quasi-experiment with the intervention of an introductory course. *Teaching Public Administration*, 41(2), 170–189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01447394221084489>
- King, S. (2007). Religion, spirituality, and the workplace: challenges for public administration. *Public Administration Review*, 67(1), 103–114.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2006.00700.x>
- Kis-Katos, K., & Sjahrir, B. (2014). The impact of fiscal and political decentralization on local public investments in indonesia. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2381136>
- Kolar, E., & Tušak, M. (2022). Decision-making style structure of slovenian sports managers. *Annales Kinesiologiae*, 13(1), 47–73.
<https://doi.org/10.35469/ak.2022.365>
- Kouzmin, A. (2009). Market fundamentalism, delusions and epistemic failures in policy and administration. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 1(1), 23–39. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17574320910942150>
- Krašovec, A., & Keržan, M. (2014). Openness of public administration in slovenia: a desire or a reality? *Politologický Časopis - Czech Journal of Political Science*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.5817/pc2014-1-3>
- Krishnan, S., Teo, T., & Lim, J. (2013). *E-participation and e-government maturity: a global perspective*. 420–435. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38862-0_26
- Krisnajaya, I., Suropto, S., Dewi, N., Sulistiyani, A., & Laksana, L. (2019). The political process of bureaucratic reform: wonosobo regional government experience from 2011-2015. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*, 23(2), 135.
<https://doi.org/10.22146/jsp.42589>
- Kristiansen, S., Dwiyanto, A., Pramusinto, A., & Putranto, E. (2009). Public sector reforms and financial transparency: experiences from indonesian districts. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 31(1), 64–87. <https://doi.org/10.1355/cs31-1c>
- Kuhlmann, S. (2010). New public management for the ‘classical continental european administration’: modernization at the local level in germany, france and italy. *Public Administration*, 88(4), 1116–1130. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2010.01869.x>

- Lacatus, M. (2019). Rational and irrational processes in decision making: an economic perspective. *Mental Health Global Challenges Journal*, 1(1), 51–57. <https://doi.org/10.32437/mhgcj.v1i1.21>
- Lapsley, I. (2008). The npm agenda: back to the future. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 24(1), 77–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0408.2008.00444.x>
- Lavertu, S. (2015). We all need help: “big data” and the mismeasure of public administration. *Public Administration Review*, 76(6), 864–872. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12436>
- Lee, E., & Haque, M. (2006). The new public management reform and governance in asian nics: a comparison of hong kong and singapore. *Governance*, 19(4), 605–626. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0491.2006.00330.x>
- Lee, H., Kim, H., & Hong, H. (2022). Navigating the covid-19 pandemic in the contingency framework: antecedents and consequences of public’s stance toward the cdc. *Public Relations Review*, 48(1), 102149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2022.102149>
- Li-hui, C. (2002). Public administration in china’s transitional period and the analysis of its reform. *Chinese Public Administration Review*, 1(2), 101–110. <https://doi.org/10.22140/cpar.v1i2.15>
- Lin, M. (2023). Implementation of bureaucratic reform to improve public services. *Journal of Business Management and Economic Development*, 1(01), 26–34. <https://doi.org/10.59653/jbmed.v1i01.19>
- Looks, H., Fangmann, J., Thomaschewski, J., Escalona, M., & Schön, E. (2022). *Towards improving agility in public administration*. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2293814/v1>
- Luke, D., & Stamatakis, K. (2012). Systems science methods in public health: dynamics, networks, and agents. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 33(1), 357–376. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031210-101222>
- Lumbanraja, A. (2020). Urgensi transformasi pelayanan publik melalui e-government pada new normal dan reformasi regulasi birokrasi. *Administrative Law & Governance Journal*, 3(2), 220–231. <https://doi.org/10.14710/alj.v3i2.220-231>
- Mahmoud, M. (2023). Effects of new public management reforms on human resource practices: a case study in jordan. *Management and Labour Studies*, 49(1), 149–176. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0258042x231185216>
- Mahmoud, M., & Othman, R. (2021). New public management in the developing countries: effects and implications on human resource management. *Journal of Governance and Integrity*, 4(2), 73–87. <https://doi.org/10.15282/jgi.4.2.2021.5573>
- Majdalawi, Y., Almarabeh, T., Mohammad, H., & Quteshate, W. (2015). E-government strategy and plans in jordan. *Journal of Software Engineering and Applications*, 08(04), 211–223. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jsea.2015.84022>

- Majiros, C. (2013). Beyond social exchange theory: a theoretical shift for mentoring relationships in the federal workplace. *Advances in Social Work, 14*(2), 531–543. <https://doi.org/10.18060/1941>
- Mangset, M., & Asdal, K. (2018). Bureaucratic power in note-writing: authoritative expertise within the state. *British Journal of Sociology, 70*(2), 569–588. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12356>
- Manjali, R. (2023). Mission-oriented innovation policy: e-government development trajectory in indonesia's bureaucratic reform. *Jejaring Administrasi Publik, 15*(1), 36–46. <https://doi.org/10.20473/jap.v15i1.47139>
- Manoharan, A., Ingrams, A., Kang, D., & Zhao, H. (2020). Globalization and worldwide best practices in e-government. *International Journal of Public Administration, 44*(6), 465–476. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2020.1729182>
- Manzoor, A. (2014). A look at efficiency in public administration. *Sage Open, 4*(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244014564936>
- Matthews, F. (2008). Ensuring the capacity to deliver - new labour and the public service agreement framework, 1997-2007. *The International Journal of Leadership in Public Services, 4*(3), 37–52. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17479886200800028>
- Maulana, A., Indriati, F., & Hidayah, K. (2022). Analysis of bureaucratic reform through delayering of government institutions in indonesia. *Jurnal Borneo Administrator, 18*(2), 155–170. <https://doi.org/10.24258/jba.v18i2.1003>
- Mayasari, R., Heryana, N., & Hananto, A. (2023). Village government readiness toward the adoption of e-government. *Buana Information Technology and Computer Sciences (Bit and Cs), 4*(1), 6–10. <https://doi.org/10.36805/bit-cs.v4i1.3241>
- McDonald, B. (2020a). Teaching in uncertain times: the future of public administration education. *Teaching Public Administration, 39*(1), 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0144739420963154>
- McDonald, B. (2020b). Teaching in uncertain times: the future of public administration education. *Teaching Public Administration, 39*(1), 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0144739420963154>
- Melnychuk, L., Andriichuk, Y., Chaltseva, O., Shtyrov, O., & Yevtushenko, I. (2023). Countering threats to effective management of personnel potential in the public administration system. *Financial and Credit Activity Problems of Theory and Practice, 2*(49), 426–434. <https://doi.org/10.55643/fcaptop.2.49.2023.4009>
- Mergel, I., Bellé, N., & Nasi, G. (2019). Prosocial motivation of private sector it professionals joining government. *Review of Public Personnel Administration, 41*(2), 338–357. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371x19886058>
- Meyer-Sahling, J., Mikkelsen, K., & Schuster, C. (2018). The causal effect of public service motivation on ethical behavior in the public sector: evidence from a

- large-scale survey experiment. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 29(3), 445–459. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muy071>
- Milenković, D., & Đurić, V. (2020). Value for money in public-private partnership projects in the field of street lighting in the republic of serbia. *Emc Review - Časopis Za Ekonomiju - Apeiron*, 20(2). <https://doi.org/10.7251/emc2002391m>
- Mkude, C., & Wimmer, M. (2015). *E-government systems design and implementation in developed and developing countries: results from a qualitative analysis*. 44–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-22479-4_4
- Morçöl, G., Tantardini, M., Williams, A., & Slagle, D. (2020). Master of public administration and master of public policy degrees: differences and similarities in the curricula and course contents. *Teaching Public Administration*, 38(3), 313–332. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0144739420915758>
- Muhani, U. (2023). Identification of hr management training approaches on sustainable public service administration skills in indonesia. *International Journal of Business Economics & Management*, 6(1), 78–87. <https://doi.org/10.21744/ijbem.v6n1.2094>
- Nhcma, A. (2015). Relevance of classical management theories to modern public administration: a review. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, 5(3), 165. <https://doi.org/10.5296/jpag.v5i3.8337>
- O'Donnell, M., & Turner, M. (2005). Exporting new public management: performance agreements in a pacific microstate. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 18(7), 615–628. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550510624077>
- Olsen, A., Tummers, L., Grimmelikhuijsen, S., & Jilke, S. (2017). *Behavioral public administration: connecting psychology with european public administration research*. 1121–1133. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-55269-3_57
- Olsen, J. (2015). Democratic order, autonomy, and accountability. *Governance*, 28(4), 425–440. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12158>
- Ospanova, D., Kussainov, D., Suleimanov, A., Kussainov, A., & Abilsheyeva, R. (2018). Problems of administrative law in the system of public administration. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 60(6), 1255–1271. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijlma-08-2017-0177>
- Pacheco, R., & Franzese, C. (2017). Executive programs for brazilian mid-career public managers. *Teaching Public Administration*, 35(1), 38–49. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0144739416686652>
- Paneva, T. (2023). In e-government we trust? correlating factors of e-government use in the western balkan and eu countries. *Balkan Social Science Review*, 22(22), 293–319. <https://doi.org/10.46763/bssr23222293p>
- Panja, S. (2021). Challenges and opportunities for public sector administration in india. *Journal of Management and Administration Provision*, 1(3), 60–64. <https://doi.org/10.55885/jmap.v1i3.224>

- Park, T., & Shaw, J. (2013). Turnover rates and organizational performance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 98(2), 268–309. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030723>
- Phoomsavarth, M. (2023). The challenges of digital economy taxation in the eu public administration. *Multidiszciplináris Kihívások Sokszinű Válaszok*, 2, 146–169. <https://doi.org/10.33565/mksv.2023.02.06>
- Popa, F. (2021). Aspects that intervene in the implementation of the new public management. *Studies and Scientific Researches Economics Edition*, 33. <https://doi.org/10.29358/sceco.v0i33.484>
- Porte, T., Demchak, C., & Jong, M. (2002). Democracy and bureaucracy in the age of the web. *Administration & Society*, 34(4), 411–446. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399702034004004>
- Prasetyo, A., Nurjaman, R., & Sari, M. (2018). *Overcoming good governance: towards localized and contextual public administration in indonesia*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aapa-18.2018.38>
- Priyadi, B. (2021). *Elite corruption in indonesia's bureaucracy, case study of kudus district regent*. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.9-10-2020.2304803>
- Ra, H., & Joo, J. (2005). Evaluating customer-centred reforms in korean local governments: possibilities and limitations of reform measures for civil application. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 71(3), 425–444. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852305056810>
- Radner, R. (2004). *Costly and bounded rationality in individual and team decision-making*. 3–36. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199269426.003.0001>
- Ramos, C., & Milanesi, A. (2021). *A brief story of latin american public administration: a particular model*. 9–19. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83982-676-420201002>
- Rohayatin, T., Subagyo, A., & Setiawan, A. (2019). *Bureaucracy reform strategy of the local government in cimahi city towards globalization era*. 615–627. <https://doi.org/10.32528/pi.v0i0.2527>
- Rosenbloom, D. (2013). Reflections on “public administrative theory and the separation of powers.” *The American Review of Public Administration*, 43(4), 381–396. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074013483167>
- Sahide, M., Fisher, M., Sirimorok, N., Faturachmat, F., Dhiaulhaq, A., Maryudi, A., & Supratman, S. (2023). Blind spots and spotlights in bureaucratic politics: an analysis of policy co-production in environmental governance dynamics in indonesia. *Development Policy Review*, 41(5). <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12693>
- Salam, R. (2023). Improving public services in realizing good governance in indonesia. *Endless International Journal of Future Studies*, 6(2), 439–452. <https://doi.org/10.54783/endllessjournal.v6i2.192>
- Samaratunge, R., Alam, Q., & Teicher, J. (2008). The new public management reforms in asia: a comparison of south and southeast asian countries. *International*

- Review of Administrative Sciences*, 74(1), 25–46.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852307085732>
- Samorukov, A. (2022). Digital transformation of public administration. *Vestnik Povolzhskogo Instituta Upravleniya*, 22(1), 4–13.
<https://doi.org/10.22394/1682-2358-2022-1-4-13>
- Sarjito, A. (2024). The effect of political ideology on public administration policy. *Udayana Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (Ujoshh)*, 7(2).
<https://doi.org/10.24843/ujoshh.2023.v07.i02.p02>
- Sarker, A. (2006). New public management in developing countries. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 19(2), 180–203.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550610650437>
- Sarma, S. (2011). NGO transformation: institutional entrepreneurship in Indian microfinance. *Business Strategy Series*, 12(4), 167–176.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/17515631111155124>
- Satria, M., Bahri, S., & Keusuma, T. (2019). Determinant of organizational performance: study in aceh regional secretariat. *International Journal of Business Management and Economic Review*, 02(05), 6–12.
<https://doi.org/10.35409/ijbmer.2019.2415>
- Sayogo, D. (2023). Data-driven decision-making challenges of local government in indonesia. *Transforming Government People Process and Policy*, 18(1), 145–156. <https://doi.org/10.1108/tg-05-2023-0058>
- Schilirò, D. (2012). Bounded rationality and perfect rationality: psychology into economics. *Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields*, III(2).
<https://doi.org/10.2478/v10261-012-0007-0>
- Schilirò, D. (2018). Economic decisions and simon's notion of bounded rationality. *International Business Research*, 11(7), 64.
<https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v11n7p64>
- Sent, E. (2004). The legacy of herbert simon in game theory. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 53(3), 303–317.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2002.10.006>
- Serpa, S., & Ferreira, C. (2019). The concept of bureaucracy by Max Weber. *International Journal of Social Science Studies*, 7(2), 12.
<https://doi.org/10.11114/ijss.v7i2.3979>
- Setijaningrum, E. (2023). Servant hearts: village cadres' public service motivation in indonesia's mental health care. *Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia*, 11(1), 93–106. <https://doi.org/10.20473/ijha.v11i1.2023.93-106>
- Shacklock, K., Brunetto, Y., & Farr-Wharton, R. (2012). The impact of supervisor-nurse relationships, patient role clarity, and autonomy upon job satisfaction: public and private sector nurses. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 18(5), 659–672. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1833367200000596>
- Shahan, A., Jahan, F., & Khair, R. (2021). A glimpse of light in darkness: performance-based accountability in bangladesh public administration. *Public*

- Sharma, A. (2018). Governance: the concept and its dimensions. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 64(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0019556117735443>
- Shava, E., & Vyas-Doorgapersad, S. (2022). Fostering digital innovations to accelerate service delivery in south african local government. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478)*, 11(2), 83–91. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v11i2.1610>
- Shields, P. (2008). Rediscovering the taproot: is classical pragmatism the route to renew public administration? *Public Administration Review*, 68(2), 205–221. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00856.x>
- Silitonga, M., Anthonio, G., Hcysc, L., & Wittek, R. (2015). *Institutional change and corruption of public leaders: a social capital perspective on indonesia* (hlm. 233–258). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-22434-3_9
- Simonofski, A., Tombal, T., Terwangne, C., Willem, P., Frénay, B., & Janssen, M. (2022). Balancing fraud analytics with legal requirements: governance practices and trade-offs in public administrations. *Data & Policy*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dap.2022.6>
- Sofyani, H., Yaya, R., & Saleh, Z. (2022). Transparency and community trust in village government: does corruption perception matter? *Financial Accountability and Management*, 39(2), 355–374. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12351>
- Sol, E. (2010). Vouchers, npm and the provision of public employment services. *European Journal of Social Security*, 12(4), 343–356. <https://doi.org/10.1177/138826271001200406>
- Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2005). Network governance and post-liberal democracy. *Administrative Theory & Praxis*, 27(2), 197–237. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10841806.2005.11029489>
- Sterrenberg, G. (2017). *A conceptual framework for evaluating e-government systems success: a service ecosystem approach*. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2017.306>
- Stone, D., & Moloney, K. (2019). *The rise of global policy and transnational administration*. 2–22. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198758648.013.27>
- Štrangfeldová, J., Hronec, Š., Vicianová, J., & Štefanišínová, N. (2019). Applying the principles of new public management to measure the performance in education – value for money. *Balkans Journal of Emerging Trends in Social Sciences*, 2(1), 50–57. <https://doi.org/10.31410/balkans.jetss.2019.2.1.50-57>
- Sudrajat, A. (2023). Analysis of indonesian public service issues in the new era based on public administration perspective. *International Journal of Social Service and Research*, 3(1), 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.46799/ijssr.v3i1.218>

- Sultan, A., AlArfaj, K., & AlKutbi, G. (2012). Analytic hierarchy process for the success of e-government. *Business Strategy Series*, 13(6), 295–306. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17515631211286146>
- Supriyono, B. (2019). *Public administration challenges in a multicultural society*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aicobpa-18.2019.18>
- Tallaki, M., Bracci, E., & Ievoli, R. (2023). Post-closure cost efficiency in public versus private landfills: the case of emilia-romagna (italy). *Environmental Management*, 72(4), 850–861. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-023-01809-w>
- Tambulasi, R. (2007). Who is fooling who? *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 3(3), 302–328. <https://doi.org/10.1108/18325910710820319>
- Tamekou, R. (2008). The national governance programme (2006—10) and the modernization of the administration: cameroon and new public management. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 74(2), 217–234. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852308089904>
- Tan, E. (2018). Quo vadis? the local government in turkey after public management reforms. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 86(1), 115–133. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852317752268>
- Todd, P., & Gigerenzer, G. (2003). Bounding rationality to the world. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 24(2), 143–165. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-4870\(02\)00200-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-4870(02)00200-3)
- Tummers, L. (2020). *Behavioral public administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.013.1443>
- Varone, F., Ingold, K., & Fischer, M. (2018). *Policy networks and the roles of public administrations*. 339–353. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92381-9_20
- Vavrek, R. (2018). Efficiency and inefficiency of public administration. *Journal of Economic Development Environment and People*, 7(1), 6. <https://doi.org/10.26458/jedep.v7i1.569>
- Viendyasari, M. (2020). *The application of digital administration to minimize maladministration in public services: a case study of jakarta investment and one stop service department*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/asschr.k.200331.133>
- Vogel, D., & Xu, C. (2021). Everything hacked? what is the evidential value of the experimental public administration literature? *Journal of Behavioral Public Administration*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.30636/jbpa.42.239>
- Vogel, R. (2013). What happened to the public organization? a bibliometric analysis of public administration and organization studies. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 44(4), 383–408. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074012470867>
- Voronov, O., Kurnosenko, L., Bezena, I., Petryshyn, N., Korniiivskyi, S., & Ilychok, B. (2023). Public administration of planning for the sustainable development of the region in the context of total digitalization. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, 18(1), 61–67. <https://doi.org/10.18280/ijstdp.180106>

- Waheduzzaman, W. (2019). Challenges in transitioning from new public management to new public governance in a developing country context. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 32(7), 689–705. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijpsm-02-2019-0057>
- Walde, R., & Yadav, A. (2022). Blockchain technology for e-government. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 10(8), 1698–1703. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2022.46487>
- Walton, F. (2005). The persistence of bureaucracy: a meta-analysis of Weber's model of bureaucratic control. *Organization Studies*, 26(4), 569–600. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840605051481>
- Weimer, D. (2008). Theories of and in the policy process. *Policy Studies Journal*, 36(4), 489–495. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.2008.00280.x>
- Wessels, J. (2019). Challenges to inform the curricula of master of public administration programmes. *Teaching Public Administration*, 38(2), 144–167. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0144739419864130>
- Wessels, J. (2022). Transforming the master of public administration education for a changing world of work: a personal account of a south african case. *Teaching Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01447394221136430>
- Wicaksono, K. (2019). Transforming the spirit of new public service into public management reform in indonesia. *Jurnal Manajemen Pelayanan Publik*, 2(1), 24. <https://doi.org/10.24198/jmpp.v2i1.20190>
- Wijaya, J. (2023). E-government in indonesia: policy review and implementation of jokowi's government. *The Journalish Social and Government*, 4(3), 302–309. <https://doi.org/10.55314/tsg.v4i3.563>
- Wijaya, S., Rosyadi, S., Gunarto, G., & Anwarudin. (2023). Challenges to local public service in the post pandemic. *Kne Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v8i3.12811>
- Williams, B., & Duckett, B. (2020). At the juncture of administrative evil and administrative racism: the obstacles and opportunities for public administrators in the united states to uphold civil rights in the twenty-first century. *Public Administration Review*, 80(6), 1038–1050. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13279>
- Wiratama, P., Suharto, D., & Nurhaeni, I. (2022). *Realizing social capital gain through civic engagement: lessons for indonesia's decentralization*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.220108.055>
- Wise, L. (2002). Public management reform: competing drivers of change. *Public Administration Review*, 62(5), 556–567. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6210.00237>
- Witteloostuijn, A., Estève, M., & Boyne, G. (2016). Public sector motivationad fonts: personality traits as antecedents of the motivation to serve the public interest. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 27(1), 20–35. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muw027>

- Wong, W., & Welch, E. (2004). Does e-government promote accountability? a comparative analysis of website openness and government accountability. *Governance*, 17(2), 275–297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0491.2004.00246.x>
- Wright, B. (2011). Public administration as an interdisciplinary field: assessing its relationship with the fields of law, management, and political science. *Public Administration Review*, 71(1), 96–101. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2010.02310.x>
- Xue, L., & Zhong, K. (2012). Domestic reform and global integration: public administration reform in china over the last 30 years. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 78(2), 284–304. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852312438784>
- Yusriadi, Y., & Farida, U. (2019). Bureaucracy performance in public services in indonesia. *Jurnal Ad Ministrare*, 6(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.26858/ja.v6i1.9434>
- Yusuf, M., & Khoirunurrofik, K. (2022). The relationship of village funds with village economic development: a village level study in indonesia. *Jurnal Bina Praja*, 14(3), 493–504. <https://doi.org/10.21787/jbp.14.2022.493-504>
- Zalmanovitch, Y. (2014). Don't reinvent the wheel: the search for an identity for public administration. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 80(4), 808–826. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852314533456>
- Zhou, W. (2024). Mechanisms influencing the digital transformation performance of local governments: evidence from china. *Systems*, 12(1), 30. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12010030>
- Zlatanović, D., Nikolić, J., Stanojević, M., & Jevtić, V. (2022). Challenges and barriers of new public management: empirical evidence from serbia. *Bizinfo Blace*, 13(1), 33–40. <https://doi.org/10.5937/bizinfo2201033z>
- Zongpu, Y. (2023). Factors influencing the development and implementation of e-government services in china. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 13(18). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v13-i18/19950>
- Zulfia, H. (2023). The impact of innovation policy and the bureaucratic structure reform of organizational citizenship behavior (ocb) of the government agencies in padang city, indonesia. *Otoritas Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 13(3), 486–499. <https://doi.org/10.26618/ojip.v13i3.12151>